

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1001.017>

Effect of Non-genetic Factors on Production Traits of Phule Triveni Cattle

Swapnali Uttamrao Rokade^{*}, Dilip Kundalik Deokar, Ghoshita Suryakant Hingonekar
and Harshavardhan Shahaji Sonawane

*Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture Dhule, Mahatma
Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, India*

**Corresponding author*

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Phule Triveni,
TMY, LL, DP,
PMY

Article Info

Accepted:
04 December 2020
Available Online:
10 January 2021

The data on production performance of Phule Triveni cattle maintained at Research cum Development Project on Cattle (RCDP), Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri district, Ahmednagar, (M.S) were utilized for present study. The data were analyzed by considering the effects of period of calving, season of calving and lactation order as non-genetic factors. The least squares means recorded for total milk yield (kg), lactation length (days), dry period (days) and peak milk yield (kg) were 2612.88 ± 75.48 , 306.43 ± 5.51 , 144.12 ± 11.38 and 14.87 ± 0.30 , respectively. The effect of period of calving was significant on traits TMY,LL ($P < 0.05$) and PMY ($P < 0.01$) while it was non-significant on DP. The effect of season of calving was non-significant on all the traits viz TMY, LL, DP and PMY. The effect of order of lactation was significant on trait PMY ($P < 0.01$), while it was non-significant on traits TMY, LL, DP.

Introduction

Increased pressure for intensified milk production and simultaneous rise in environmental temperature due to global warming has increased the thermal load on dairy animals. Elevated environmental temperature combined with high humidity causes discomfort and escalates the stress level in animals which is reflected in terms of reduced physiological and metabolic activities that results in reduced growth, drop in production and reproduction in farm animals. Heat stress is one of the most vital environmental stressor that has negative

impact on milk yield, milk composition (fat%, SNF%, protein % etc.). Construction of Temperature Humidity Index (THI) by combining several climatological parameters like dry bulb, wet bulb temperature along with relative humidity to quantify the thermal stress is one of the best methods to assess heat stress on animals. Several research workers have reported that there exists a threshold THI value, above which the negative effects of heat stress is observed on animals. Mitigation strategies to combat heat stress includes selection of heat tolerant animals and their breeding, inclusion of heat tolerance as a trait while constructing selection index, providing

balanced nutrition to the animals and implementation of good ventilation along with suitable cooling system in the farm (Behera *et al.*, 2020). The temperature and humidity are changes day to day and even seasonal as well as periodical. The literature on Phule Triveni crossbred cattle on this aspect is scanty. Therefore, present investigation was undertaken.

Materials and Methods

The data of Phule Triveni cows maintained at Research Cum-Development Project on Cattle, M.P.K.V., Rahuri for a period from 2009 to 2019 (10 years) were collected for present investigation for various production traits viz., Total lactation milk yield (kg), Lactation length (days), Dry period (days) and Peak milk yield (kg). To examine the production traits, the research data was classified into 3 periods of calving viz. P₁ (2009-2011), P₂(2012-2014), P₃ (2015above); 3 seasons of calving, viz. S₁ (Rainy) June- September, S₂ (Winter) October-January and S₃ (Summer) February-May; 5 order of lactation viz. L₁ first lactation, L₂ second lactation, L₃third lactation, L₄ fourth lactation, L₅fifth lactation. The effects of non-genetic factors like period of calving, season of calving and parity were estimated by using least-square analysis as suggested by Harvey (1990). The model was used with the assumption that different components being fitted into the model were as linear, independent and additive. The model used was as follows:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + A_i + B_j + C_k + e_{ijkl}$$

where Y_{ijkl}, observation of lth animal, kth parity, jth season of calving, ith period of calving; μ overall mean, A_i fixed effect of ith period of calving (1 to 3), B_j fixed effect of jth season of calving (1 to 3), C_k fixed effect of kth parity (1 to 5); e_{ijkl} random error ~ NID (0, σ²e).

Whenever the effects were significant Duncan’s Multiple Range Test as modified by Kramer (1957) was used to make pair wise comparison among the least square means with the use of inverse elements and root mean squares for error.

If the values

$$(Y_i - Y_j) \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{C_{ii} + C_{jj} + 2 C_{ij}}} > \sigma^2 e, Z(P, ne)$$

Where,

Y_i – Y_j: Difference between two least squares means

C_{ii}: Corresponding ith diagonal elements of C matrix

C_{jj}: Corresponding jth diagonal elements of C matrix

Z (P, ne): Standardized range value in Duncan’s table at the chosen level of probability for the error degrees of freedom

P: Number of means involved in the comparison

σ²e: Root mean squares for error

Results and Discussion

The least squares means recorded for total milk yield (kg), lactation length (days), dry period (days) and peak milk yield (kg) were presented in Table 1.

Total milk yield (kg)

The overall least squares mean of total milk yield in Phule Triveni cow was 2612.88 ± 75.48 kg. This was in accordance with Deokar

(2003) in Gir crossbred cows. Whereas, higher values were reported by Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni (2855 ± 43 kg), Raut *et al.*, (2017) in HF \times Gir halfbreds (2556.82 kg), Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF \times Gir halfbreds (2701.77 ± 46.04 kg), Gaikwad *et al.*, (2018) in HF \times Gir halfbreds (2703.10 ± 97.91 kg) Patond (2013) in FJG cattle. However, lower total milk yield was noticed by Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey \times Sahiwal, Arya *et al.*, (2013) in crossbred cows and Thombare *et al.*, (2013) in HF \times Deoni cows.

The influence of period of calving on total milk yield was highly significant ($P < 0.01$) in Phule Triveni cow. This was in accordance with Pandey *et al.*, (2018) in Sahiwal cattle and Baranwal *et al.*, (2018) in Vrindavani cows. In Phule Triveni cow, total milk yield (kg) of cows calved during period P_1 (3158.27 ± 114.36 kg) was significantly highest followed by cows calved in P_3 (2345.95 ± 154.29 kg) and P_2 (2740.98 ± 98.50 kg) which were at par with each other.

The influence of season of calving on total milk yield was non-significant in Phule Triveni cow. The present results were in agreement with Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Sahiwal and Jersey \times Sahiwal crossbreds, Patond (2013) in Gir triple crossbred cows, Bhutkar *et al.*, (2014) in Deoni cows, Radhika *et al.*, (2012) in crossbred cows and Pandey *et al.*, (2018) in Sahiwal cattle. In present study Phule Triveni cows calved during winter season yielded highest TMY (2724.42 ± 123.75 kg) followed by rainy season (2559.36 ± 129.35 kg) and lowest TMY in summer season (2554.85 ± 124.06 kg).

The variation due to order of lactation in total milk yield (kg) of Phule Triveni cow was non-significant. Similar results were obtained by Radhika *et al.*, (2012) in crossbred cows. However contradictory results were obtained by Deokar *et al.*, (2003) in FG, JG, FJG, JFG,

BFG, Kale *et al.*, (2001a) in FJG, JFG, BFG, Pol *et al.*, (2015) and Garudkar *et al.*, (2015) in Phule Triveni, Jadhav *et al.*, (2010) in HF \times Gir halfbreds. In Phule Triveni cow, the differences in total milk yield of cows L_3 (2828.24 ± 155.76 kg), L_5 (2723.65 ± 203.83 kg), L_2 (2639.39 ± 143.06 kg) and L_4 (2613.98 ± 173.10 kg) were at par with each other and significantly higher than and L_1 (2259.24 ± 139.64 kg). The L_3 and L_5 , L_2 and L_4 which was at par with each other and L_3 was significantly higher than those L_1 . The difference in TMY among total cows calved during L_3 and L_5 , L_2 and L_4 were at par to each other.

Lactation length

The overall least squares mean of lactation length in Phule Triveni cow was 306.43 ± 5.51 days which was in close agreement with Pol *et al.*, (2013) in Phule Triveni cows. Whereas, higher lactation length were reported by Usman *et al.*, (2012) in HF cows, Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross cows, Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni cows (331.3 ± 3 days), Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF \times Gir halfbreds (320.43 ± 3.04 days), Mote *et al.*, (2019) in IFG (352.21 ± 5.14 days) FG (327.22 ± 4.15 days), FIG (331.71 ± 3.97 days), IFJG (358.33 ± 3.81 days), R (343.37 ± 7.52 days), Gaikwad *et al.*, (2018) in HF \times Gir halfbreds (332.80 ± 8.72 days). However, lower lactation length was observed by Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey \times Sahiwal, Thombare *et al.*, (2013) in HF \times Deonicows.

The variation due to period of calving in lactation length was significant ($P < 0.05$) in Phule Triveni cows. The significant effect of period of calving on lactation length was reported by Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni cows, Mote *et al.*, (2019) in IFG, IFJG and Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross cows. However, non significant effect of period of calving on lactation length was also

noticed by Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF × Girhalfbreeds, Mote *et al.*, (2019) in FG, FIG, R, Gaikwad *et al.*, (2018) in HF × Girhalfbreeds, Patond (2009) in Jersey cows, Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey × Sahiwalhalfbreeds. In Phule Triveni, lactation length (days) was highest in cows calved during period P₃ (316.73 ± 11.27) followed by P₁ (316.11 ± 8.35) and lowest in P₂ (286.45 ± 8.21). The differences obtained among the cows calved during P₃ and P₂ were at par to each other.

The influence of season of calving on lactation length was non-significant in Phule Triveni cows. These results were in accordance with Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni cows, Mote *et al.*, (2019) in IFG, FG, FIG, R, Jadhav (2011) in HG halfbreeds, Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey × Sahiwalhalfbreeds, Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross cows and Bhutkaret *et al.*, (2014) in Deoni cows. However, present results did not agreed with Jadhav (2019) in HF × Girhalfbreeds, Thombare *et al.*, (2013) in HF × Deonihalfbreeds and Patond (2014) in Gir triple cross cows, Kamble *et al.*, (2016) in Phule Triveni cows, Mote *et al.*, (2019) in IFJG. In Phule Triveni cows, the highest lactation length was observed in cows calved during winter (316.49 ± 9.04days) season followed by rainy (306.74 ± 9.45days) and lowest in summer (296.07 ± 9.06days)season.

The effect of order of lactation on lactation length was non-significant in Phule Triveni cows. These results were in accordance with Garudkar *et al.*, (2015) in Phule Triveni cows, Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross and Thombare *et al.*, (2013) in HF × Deoni crossbred cows. However, significant effect of order of lactation on lactation length was observed by Kamble (2003) in Gir crossbred cows and Mhasade (2010) and Jadhav (2011) in FG halfbreeds. In Phule Triveni cows, the highest lactation length was observed in L₂

(320.87 ± 10.45days) lactation followed by L₁(320.75 ± 10.20days), L₃ (308.88 ± 11.38 days) , L₄ (291.25 ± 12.65 days) and lowest in L₅ (290.40 ± 14.89days) lactation. In HF X Gir no specific trend of lactation length was noticed during different lactations.

Dry Period

The overall mean dry period recorded in Phule Triveni was 144.12 ± 11.38 days. These results were in close agreement with Roy *et al.*, (1993) in FT cows. Whereas, higher values were observed by Pandey *et al.*, (2009) in FJH, Usman *et al.*, (2012) in HF cows, Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey × Sahiwal cows and Hassan *et al.*, (2013) in crossbred cows. However, lower values were noticed by Kamble (2003) in HG cows, Deokar *et al.*, (2008) in Phule Triveni cows(93.57 ± 4.94 days), Zol *et al.*, (2009) in Phule Triveni cows(79.06 ± 1.89 days), Kamble *et al.*, (2016) in Phule Triveni cows(114.74 ± 7.54 days), Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni cows (93 ± 3 days), Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF × Girhalfbreeds(88.40 ± 2.58 days), Gaikwad *et al.*, (2018) in HF × Girhalfbreeds (85.59 ± 7.45 days).

The variation due to period of calving in dry period was non-significant in Phule Triveni. Similar results were observed by Kamble (2003) in FG, FJG, JFG and BFG crossbreeds, Deokar *et al.*, (2008) in Phule Triveni cows, Pandey *et al.*, (2009) in FJH crossbreeds and Usman *et al.*, (2012) in HF cows, Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF × Girhalfbreeds, Gaikwad *et al.*, (2018) in HF × Girhalfbreeds. In Phule Triveni, the dry period (days) was largest in cows calved during period P₂ (148.10 ± 16.95) followed by P₃ (144.65 ± 23.27) and lowest in P₁ (139.62 ± 17.25). The results revealed that the dry period linearly increased in cows calved during period P₂ and slightly decreased during P₃ in Phule Triveni cows.

Table.1 Least squares means for total milk yield (kg), lactation length, dry period, peak milk yield as affected by non-genetic factors

Effect	N	LEAST SQUARE MEANS			
		Total Milk Yield	Lactation Length	Dry period	Peak milk yield
μ	137	2612.88±75.48	306.43±5.51	144.12±11.38	14.87±0.30
Period of calving					
P ₁	53	3158.27 ^a ±114.36	316.11 ^{ab} ±8.35	139.62±17.25	16.66 ^a ±0.45
P ₂	55	2334.42 ^c ±112.40	286.45 ^b ±8.21	148.10±16.95	14.62 ^{ab} ±0.45
P ₃	29	2345.95 ^b ±154.29	316.73 ^a ±11.27	144.65±23.27	13.32 ^b ±0.61
Season of calving					
S ₁	44	2559.36±129.35	306.74±9.45	147.98±19.51	14.58±0.51
S ₂	46	2724.42±123.75	316.49±9.04	126.02±18.66	14.57±0.49
S ₃	47	2554.85±124.06	296.07±9.06	158.37±18.71	15.44±0.49
Order of lactation					
L ₁	35	2259.24±139.64	320.75±10.20	147.39±21.06	11.60 ^c ±0.56
L ₂	33	2639.89±143.06	320.87±10.45	126.93±21.57	14.77 ^{bc} ±0.56
L ₃	30	2828.24±155.76	308.88±11.38	132.05±23.49	15.85 ^b ±0.62
L ₄	23	2613.98±173.10	291.25±12.65	163.24±26.11	16.09 ^a ±0.68
L ₅	16	2723.05±203.83	290.40±14.89	151.01±30.74	16.02 ^{ab} ±0.81

The variation due to season of calving in dry period was non-significant in Phule Triveni. These results were in agreement with Deokar *et al.*, (2008), Zol *et al.*, (2009), Kamble *et al.*, (2016) and Ambhore *et al.*, (2017) in Phule Triveni cows, Jadhav *et al.*, (2019) in HF × Girhalfbreeds, Kamble (2003) in HG halfbreeds, Zol (2007) in Phule Triveni, Pandey *et al.*, (2009) in FJH crossbreeds, Shinde (2010) in HF and Hadge *et al.*, (2012) in Jersey × Sahiwal crossbred cows and Bhutkar *et al.*, (2014) in Deoni cows. In Phule Triveni, the longest dry period was observed in cows calved during summer (158.37± 18.71 days) season followed by rainy (147.98± 19.51days) and shortest in those calved in winter(126.02± 18.66 days) season.

The difference due to order of lactation in dry period was non-significant in Phule Triveni cows. These results were similar to Zolet *et al.*, (2009) in Phule Triveni cows, Kamble (2003) in Gir crossbreeds, Zol (2007) in Phule Triveni, Shelar (2012) in Gir crossbreeds. In Phule Triveni cows, the longest dry period (days) was observed in cows during L₄ (163.24 ± 26.11) followed by L₅ (151.01 ±30.74), L₁(147.39 ± 21.06), L₃ (132.05 ± 23.49) and lowest in L₂ (126.93±21.57) lactation. In Phule Triveni cows in the present study no specific trend of dry period was noticed for different lactations.

Peak Milk Yield

The overall least squares mean observed for PMY was 14.87± 0.30 kg in Phule Triveni cattle which was in close agreement with Patond (2009) reported in Jersey cows, Shelke (2012) in Phule Triveni, whereas, higher values were observed by Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross cows. However, lower values were noticed by Kale *et al.*, (2001) in FJG (14.87 ± 0.13kg) JFG (14.57 ± 0.25kg) and BFG (14.91 ± 0.19 kg), Kamble (2003) in HG cows.

The variation due to period of calving in PMY was significant (P<0.01) in Phule Triveni which was also noticed by Kale *et al.*, (2001) in FJG (14.87 ± 0.13 kg) JFG (14.57 ± 0.25 kg) and BFG (14.91 ± 0.19 kg), Patond *et al.*, (2009) in Jersey cows, Bhutkaret *et al.*, (2014) in Deonicattle. The PMY (kg) of cows calved during period P₁ (16.66 ± 0.45) is higher than P₃ (13.32 ± 0.61) and at par with those calved during P₂ (14.62 ± 0.45). The differences in PMY among cows calved during P₁ and P₂ and between P₂ and P₃ were at par with each other. The results revealed that the PMY linearly decreased in cows calved during period P₁ to P₃ in Phule Triveni.

The variation due to season of calving in PMY was non-significant in Phule Triveni. These results were in agreement with Nanavati and Singh *et al.*, (2004) reported in Gir cattle, Patond *et al.*, (2009) in Jersey cows, Shelke *et al.*, (2012) in Phule Triveni cows Bhutkar *et al.*, (2014) in Deoni cattle and Radhika *et al.*, (2012) in crossbred cows. However significant results were obtained by Kale *et al.*, (2001) in FJG, JFG and BFG. In Phule Triveni, the highest PMY was observed in cows calved during summer (15.44 ± 0.49 Kg) season and lowest in winter (14.57 ± 0.49Kg).

The difference in PMY due to order of lactation was significant in Phule Triveni (P<0.01). Similar results were noticed by Kale *et al.*, (2001) in FJG (14.87 ± 0.13 kg) JFG (14.57 ± 0.25 kg) and BFG (14.91 ±0.19 kg) and Patond (2013) in Gir triple cross cows. The PMY (kg) of cows calved during order of lactation L₄ (16.09 ± 0.68) is significantly higher than L₃(15.85 ± 0.62), L₂(14.770 ± 0.56) and L₁(11.60 ± 0.56) and at par with those calved during L₅ (16.02 ± 0.81). The differences in PMY among cows calved during L₄ and L₅, between L₃ and L₅ and L₂ were at par with each other.

In Phule Triveni, the highest PMY (kg) was observed during L₄ (16.09 ± 0.68) followed by, L₅ (16.02 ± 0.81), L₃(15.85 ± 0. 0.62), L₂(14.77 ± 0.56) and lowest in L₁(11.60 ± 0.56) lactation. In the present investigation no specific trend of PMY was noticed for different lactations. The differences among the cows calved during L₄ and L₁, L₅ and L₁, L₃ and L₁ as well as L₂ and L₁ are at par to each other. The significantly lowest PMY was recorded in cows during L₁ lactation.

References

- Ambhore, G.S., Avtar Singh, Deokar, D.K., Gupta, A.K., Manvendra, Singh and VedPrakash. (2017). First lactation production and reproduction performance of Phule Triveni cattle in hot arid region of Maharashtra, Indian. *J.Anim.Sci.* 87(1): 105-108.
- Arya, V.K. and Tailor, S.P. (2013). Factors affecting production and reproduction traits of Gir and crossbred cattle. *Journal Progressive Agriculture.* 4(1): 135-138.
- Baranwal A., Neerasa G. S., Pruthviraj D.R., BabuLalSaini, SatishKumar and Avneesh Kumar. (2018). Effect of Environmental Factors on Production and Reproduction Traits of Vrindavani Cattle. *International Journal of Livestock Research, eISSN: 2277-1964*, 8 (06):113-122.
- Behera R.,Mandal A.,Rai S., Karunakaran M. and Mondal M. (2020). Temperature Humidity Index and its relationship with production traits of dairy cattle and buffaloes – Review. *International Journal of Livestock Research* 10(3): 38-48.
- Bhutkar S.S., Thombre B. M. and Bainwad D.V. (2014). Effect of non-genetic factors on production traits in Deoni Cows *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 7(12) 2319-2380.
- Deokar, D.K., Pachpute, S.T., Kale, S.V. and Naikare, B.D. (2003). Studies on fat corrected milk yield in two and three breed Gir crosses. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 28(1): 72-74.
- Deokar, D.K. (2003). System analysis for optimization of production in crossbred cattle. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Gaikwad, U.S., Deokar, D.K. and Bhoite, U.K. (2018). Studies on first lactation production traits of Hf x Gir halfbreds. *J. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 5(2):12-15.
- Garudkar, S. R., Patond, M.N. and Deokar D.K. (2015). Effect on non-genetic factors on some productive traits in Phule Triveni cows. *Indian J.Vet.Res.* 24(1): 23-26.
- Hadge, M.R., Kuralkar, S.V., Ali, S.Z., Kharkar, K.P. and Sawaimul, A.D. (2012). Genetic studies on productive traits of Sahiwal and Jersey x Sahiwal crossbred cows. *Indian J. Anim. Res.* 46(1): 92-94.
- Harvey W.R. (1990). Least-squares analysis of data with unequal subclass numbers. ARS H-4, U.S.D.A, Washington.
- Jadhav, S.S., Deshmkh, A.R., Deokar, D.K. and Fulpagare, Y.G., (2010). Effect of non genetic factors on production traits of Gir halfbreds. *Asian J. Anim. Sci.* 5(1): 23-24.
- Jadhav, P.D. (2011). Generation wise comparative reproduction and production performance of HF x Gir and Phule Triveni synthetic cows. M.Sc (Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Jadhav, S.S., Deokar, D.K., Fulpagare, Y.G., Bhoite, U.V., Mandakmale, S.D. and Nimbalkar, C.A. (2019). Effects of genetic and non-genetic factors on first lactation production and reproduction traits in HF x Gir cattle. *Int. J. Curr. Microbio. App. Sci.* 8(1): 45-51.
- Kale, D.D., Ulmel B.R., Deokar, D.K. and Pachpute, S.T. (2001). Genetic studies on peak milk yield in Triple crossbred cows. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 26(2): 216-218.
- Kamble, S.S. (2003). Effect of different types of calving on reproductive and productive performance of crossbred cattle. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Kamble V.P., Deokar D.K. and Bhoite U.Y. (2016). Studies on first lactation production traits of Phule Triveni. *J.Agric.Res.Technol.* 41(1):135-141.
- Kramer, C.V. (1957). Extension of multiple range

- test to group correlated adjusted mean. *Biometrics*, 13: 13-20.
- Mote, M.G., Nimbalkar, C.A., Deokar, D.K. and Gaikwad, U.S. (2019). Effect of genetic and non-genetic factors on first lactation production traits in crossbreds of Gir with Holstein friesion and Jersey cattle breeds. *Agric.Ress.*:1-5.
- Mhasade, B.S. (2010). Effect of age and weight at first calving on production performance of HF x Gir crossbreds. M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Nanavati, S. and Singh, A. (2004). Non-genetic factors affecting production traits in Gir cattle. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.* 57 (5): 342-346.
- Pandey, H.S., Suman, C.L. and Madhuri, S. B. (2009). Reproduction and production performance of three breed crosses in cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Res.* 43(1): 32-36.
- Pandey M. and Raja K.N., Saleem Yousuf and Gupta A.K. (2018). Effect of non-genetic factors on first lactation 305 days and lifetime milk yield in Sahiwal cattle. *Indian J Dairy Sci.*, 72(1): 89-92.
- Patond, M.N. (2009). Persistency of milk yield in Jersey cattle. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Patond, M.N. (2013). Modelling of lactation curve in Gir triple cross cows. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Pol, K.E., Dhage, S.A., Pachpute, S.T. and Khutal, B.B. (2013). Generation wise production efficiency of Phule Triveni synthetic cow. *J. Agric. Res. Technol.* 38(1): 117-129.
- Pol, K.E., Khutal, B.B., Deokar, D.K. and Deshmukh, A.R. (2015). Factors affecting lactation length and 305 days milk yield of Phule Triveni synthetic cows. *Indian J.Vet.Res.* 24(1): 23-26.
- Radhika G., Ajithkumar S., Rani A., Sathian C.T., Anilkumar K., Usha A. P. and Dinesh C.N. (2012). Milk yield and composition of crossbred cows in the hilly Wayanad district of Kerala, India. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 82 (10): 1251–1254.
- Roy, P.K., Basu, S.B. and Pachlang, S.V. (1993). Association between milk production and reproduction traits in crossbred cows. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.* 45(6) 274-277.
- Shelke, M.G. (2012). Generation wise persistency of milk production in Phule Triveni Synthetic cows M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Shinde, A.S. (2010). Studies on breeding efficiency of HF halfbreds. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.
- Shubha Lakshmi, B., Ramesh Gupta, B., Sudhakar, K., GnanaPrakash. and Lt. Col. Susheel Sharma. (2009). Genetic analysis of production performance of Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal cows. *Tamilnadu J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* 5(4): 143-148.
- Thombare, B.M., Bainwad, D.V., Zewdu Wondifraw. (2013). Effect of non-genetic factors on milk production of Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cows. *Af. J. Dairy Farming Milk Prod.* 1(4): 79-84.
- Usman, T., Suhail, S. M., Ahmed, S., Qureshi, M. S. and Wang, Y. (2012). Performance traits study of Holstein Friesian cattle under subtropical conditions. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 22 (2):92-95.
- Zol, S.R. (2007). Studies on generation wise breeding efficiency of Phule Triveni crossed cows at R.C.D.P organized farm. M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri.

How to cite this article:

Swapnali Uttamrao Rokade, Dilip Kundalik Deokar, Ghoshita Suryakant Hingonekar and Harshavardhan Shahaji Sonawane. 2021. Effect of Non-genetic Factors on Production Traits of Phule Triveni Cattle. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 10(01): 147-154.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1001.017>